

PROJECT	Minutes of Meeting of Community Liaison Community Group Huia Water Treatment Plant Replacement held at 50 Woodlands Park Road, Waima
DATE	19 July 2017
PRESENT	P Walbran (Chair), R Murphy, R Woolf, S Kitson, C Hamiaux, B Harvey, M Lythe, M Barton, P Maddison, M Harvey, M Allen, S Freeman, A Fomison
STAFF IN ATTENDANCE	S Palmer, P Perera, P Jones – I Boothroyd (Ecologist - Boffa Miskell)
APOLOGIES	J Edgar, K Russell, G Presland

1. Matters arising from Minutes	ACTION
<p>SKitson questioned the proposed pipelines associated with the Huia WTP (North Harbour 2 (NH 2), replacement of Nihotupu No 1 and Huia 1. PPerera outlined how the network worked and the consenting stages of the two projects. SKitson asked if people along routes could be asked to join the CLG. PJones explained that NH2 was approved and Huia 1 finished in Khyber Pass. CHamiaux questioned the third reservoir on the Woodlands Park Road site. PPerera stated that this was not part of the consent and was only an indicative reservoir for the purpose of the NH 2 pipeline. MHarvey queried response from mana whenua – PJ, no reply has been received</p>	
2. Terms of Reference	
<p>PaulW – need to tidy Terms of Reference Several aspects were discussed PaulW called for volunteers to tidy up the draft. MBarton and -MAllen agreed to knock into shape.</p>	Volunteers to complete tidy up of draft
3. Membership of Group	
<p>PaulW – two groups have expressed interest in joining – Friends of Arataki and West Auckland Historical Society – CLG agreed to them joining – BruceH said that he may represent the WAH society as he was a member. JM questioned status of MAllen role due to link with Laingholm Roundabout. MAllen agreed not to use position within the CLG with regards to the local tabloid. He was invited to be part of the CLG as a local with engineering background.</p>	PaulW will arrange invitation to the Friends of Arataki
4. Ecological Survey	

<p>Ian Boothroyd from Boffa Miskell outlined his experience, the scope of work that the company had submitted to Watercare to carry out the required ecological surveys of the three sites and the expertise of those that would be employed to carry out the work and the methodologies that would be used.</p> <p>The CLG expressed concerns with regards to the proposed survey and questioned the methodologies, basis of the findings, need for contour maps and geotechnical survey, timing of the surveys, frequency.</p> <p>What happens to rare species –Ian explained that it was more a question of the degree of mitigation and that the extent was not quantified apart from loss of streams. The intent was to have a beneficial effect on the ecological environment, not a loss.</p> <p>SFreeman asked how the experts appointed by the CLG could work with Boffa. If work together may negate an adversarial situation later in the process.</p> <p>Mels was concerned that as Boffas was Watercare’s consultants, the findings may be skewed towards Watercare’s preferred outcomes.</p> <p>Ian agreed to peer review approach, experts free to accompany Boffa experts to the sites.</p> <p>Several possible variations – need to get agreement amongst the CLG – ensure that the CLG were comfortable with the outcome.</p> <p>PaulW outlined that Mark Bourne had agreed for Watercare to pay for an independent ecological survey to be performed by a consultant commissioned by the CLG and separate from Boffa in addition to the Boffa survey being done for Watercare. General agreement that the two ecological surveys would be carried out to the same scale and scope and then would produce independent reports.</p> <p>SFreeman suggested that the two surveys could be peer reviewed by the same person, possibly a certifier of ecological consultants.</p>	<p>All to review the scope of work provided by Boffa and make comments/ additions Reply by Wednesday 27th of July</p>
<p>5. Other Matters</p>	
<p>MBarton said that she was happy for the Clarks Bush Track to be realigned, especially given the threat of kauri dieback to the large kauri in Clarks Bush with the currently muddy track being on their rootzones.</p> <p>BHarvey suggested that Sandra Coney be invited to the session on the history of the area.</p> <p>PaulW stated that there needs to be a review of how people are invited to attend the CLG.</p> <p>SFreeman agreed that it was useful to invite others to the CLG for specific items.</p> <p>PaulW requested that the agenda items be listed so that the CLG were aware of the forward programme.</p> <p>MAllen requested that an expert in geology be invited to present to the CLG (Bruce Hayward).</p> <p>PaulW requested that a new venue be found.</p>	<p>Expert in geology be invited</p>
<p>5. Next Meeting</p>	
<p><u>Actions</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Discussion on ecological approach/drafting of results/evidence - Draft programme of events/matters - Terms of Reference finalised - Find new venue <p>Meeting closed at 21.00.</p>	<p>PJones</p>

Certified as true and correct record

Paul Walbran

Date